

## Stakeholder Testing Response Form

---

### Stakeholder Response Form CRG Product Testing

---

Please complete one response form per consultation document that you wish to provide comments on.

Date	<b>20<sup>th</sup> January 2018</b>
Respondent's Name	Dr Nicki Cohen
Respondent's Organisation	Neuropathology Specialty Advisory Committee, RCPATH
Replying on behalf of organisation?	Yes
Document responding to:	Sarcoma Service Specification
Relevant CRG	Specialised Cancer Surgery CRG

**It is proposed that highly specialised products will go for period of public consultation.  
Please select the consultation level that you consider to be most appropriate.**

1 - changes that could reasonably be expected to be broadly supported by stakeholders - up to 6 week consultation

**Do you have any further comments on the proposed changes to the document?**

1. YES

---

**If Yes, please describe below, in no more than 500 words, any further comments on the proposed changes to the document as part of this initial 'sense check'.**

The principle here seems to focus on the patient receiving the correct surgery – which is entirely appropriate.

Neuropathology practice regarding some sarcomas (e.g. meningeal sarcoma, nerve sheath tumour) would usually be to report within the department, whereas for others (e.g. bone) usual practice would be to work the case up then refer for an additional opinion. It is difficult to judge how this proposal would alter that practice – or the potential repercussions on training, but the principle is supported.

**Please declare any conflict of interests relating to this document or service area.**