

# **HEE Workforce Planning and Strategic Framework (Framework 15)**

# 2015/16 Call for Evidence

In 2015/16 we are inviting organisations for submissions which address not only immediate workforce planning and education commissioning but which look further ahead and cover wider workforce strategy. For this reason the 2015/16 form covers not only 'conventional' supply and demand concerns, but invites organisations to comment on the wider context of drivers of change and the strategic response. It is organised as follows:

Section 1: Current and future workforce demand and supply

Section 2: Drivers of service demand change

Section 3: Patients and population

Section 4: Models of care

Section 5: Future workforce characteristics

Section 6: Any other evidence

# Submissions should be completed and returned to HEE, using this form, by 30th June 2015 (see below for more information).

We acknowledge that this is a bigger task than in previous years, and it may entail a higher level of internal deliberation and consultation for your organisation. This is deliberate: we want to learn as much as we can about what organisations are thinking about the long term and the big picture, while simultaneously gathering thinking about the here and now and the more immediate future which will be influenced directly by HEE's commissions in the short term.

## Making your submission

- We ask that, to maximise input, your submission is completed and returned to HEE by
   30th June 2015
- To submit your evidence please, complete this form. You can provide extracts of reports into the free text boxes below, or submit whole reports. Where an extract is provided, please reference the source.
- In submitting evidence you are invited to take into account the following:

HEE's workforce	HEE Planning Guidance. Due to the restrictions around the	
planning guidance	election we have not yet received permission to put the planning	
	guidance on our web site. It has been widely circulated but please	
	contact mandy.knowles1@nhs.net if you do not have a copy.	
HEE's strategic	http://hee.nhs.uk/2014/06/03/framework-15-health-education-	
framework	england-strategic-framework-2014-29/	
(Framework 15)		
The NHS Five Year	http://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/5yfv-	
Forward view	web.pdf	

 Once you have completed the form and/or prepared your 'pack', please embed it in an email and return it to <a href="mailto:hee.workforceplanning1@nhs.net">hee.workforceplanning1@nhs.net</a> and in the subject heading please use this convention:

# HEE CFE 2015/16 from [your organisation's name in full – avoid acronyms] [Sub version x]

Please note, it is not compulsory to complete all sections for you to submit a response, but in order to maximise the value of your submission in informing HEE's 2015/16 education commissions, section 1 should completed and returned by the 30<sup>th</sup> June 2015. Later submissions are not wasted as we draw on Caff for Evidence returns throughout the year for a variety of purposes.

## Your contact details

Before completing the form below please submit your contact details here:

Name	Dr Mark Zuckerman
Job title/role in organisation	Chair of the Specialty Advisory Committee in Virology
Organisation (in full please)	Royal College of Pathologists
Contact email	mark.zuckerman@kcl.ac.uk / Fiona.addiscott@rcpath.org
Contact number	020 3299 9000 x 36978 / 020 7451 6726
Submission version (if you resubmit at any point)	1
Date	30/06/2015

## **Data Protection and Freedom of Information**

The information you send us may be made available to wider partners, referred to in future published workforce returns or other reports and may be stored on our internal evidence database.

Any information contained in your response may be subject to publication or disclosure if requested under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. By providing personal information for this review it is understood that you consent to its disclosure and publication. If this is not the case, you should limit any personal information provided or remove it completely.

## Section 1 – Current and future workforce demand and supply

Use this section to input evidence into the forecasting of future workforce numbers. Report here your perspectives on either;

- i) the high level indicators; supply, demand, and any forecast under / over supply or if available
- ii) the more granular components of these three components e.g. retirement rates, output from education relative to attrition

## 1.1 Summary forecasts

- Forecast Workforce Demand
- Forecast Workforce Supply and Turnover
- Forecast Under / Over Supply

## Drivers of workforce demand include:

Increased demands for virological clinical opinion concerning:

- the management of patients with viral infections with the advent of more sensitive, specific and rapid tests and new antiviral drugs
- tests for antiviral drug resistance particularly HIV, hepatitis B and C, cytomegalovirus (CMV), herpes simplex virus infections and influenza
- increasing numbers of both recipients of and types of organ transplantation, increasing numbers of patients treated with immunosuppressive drugs
- novel viruses often with potential for widespread disease include MERS-CoV, avian influenza A H7N9 virus, Ebola virus, dengue virus and chikungunya virus infections from a diagnostic and infection control perspective

# Workforce supply and turnover

Together with laboratory centralisations/mergers, joint ventures with private companies have changed the virological landscape in the last few years with varied results. This has not had an immediate effect on medical workforce numbers but is likely to do so in the future.

Currently, there are 65 whole time and 7 part-time medical consultant virologists and 10 consultant clinical scientists working in the United Kingdom (Clinical Virology Network data). There are two vacant consultant medical virologist posts. There has been 1 retirement in the last 6 months and 1 vacant post has been filled.

# Under/over supply:

The move to broader infection training where virologists, microbiologists and infectious diseases physicians will all receive core medical training and laboratory and clinical infection training will lead to specialist virologists with more clinical input and direct patient care in an infection team. Such Infection trained doctors may be expected to participate in acute medicine rotas which may alter working practice and reduce time spent in laboratories.

Developments in higher specialist training for clinical scientists under Modernising Scientific Careers will see more of these individuals holding senior laboratory posts

# 1.2 Detailed / Component forecasts

#### **Forecast Workforce Demand**

- Service Demand drivers
- Change in use of temporary staff
- Addressing historic vacancies
- Skill Mix / New Roles
- Workforce Productivity

Service Demand Drivers: As detailed in part 1.1

Addressing historic vacancies: This has not been an issue, more dependent on whether those posts will be reconfigured as the new combined infection training programme has greater emphasis on core medical training with MRCP and increased training in infectious diseases. Trainees will decide whether they wish to specialise in virology in the latter part of the programme

## Skill Mix/New Roles

- Increased clinical involvement of doctors trained under the combined infection training scheme (beginning August 2015)
- Increased requirement for training clinical scientists
- Increase in 7 day working in virology (may lead to need for increased numbers required)

## 1.3 Forecast Supply from HEE commissioned education

- Assumed training levels
- Under recruitment
- Attrition
- Employment on completion of training

# Assumed training levels

There are around 30 trainees in Virology in the UK including trainees training jointly in Virology and Infectious Diseases (Clinical Virology Network (CVN) data) and this number is likely to remain essentially unchanged. RCPath records identify 31 trainees of whom 17 are single specialty Virology trainees and 14 dual Virology/Infectious Diseases trainees. Many Virology training posts have in fact been converted to joint training posts as these are more attractive to candidates and to potential employers and are more in line with the future direction of the Infection specialties such as Virology ie more clinically focused

Attrition: There is no evidence of disestablishment of virology posts at the present time

Employment on Completion of Training: Employment prospects seem reasonable overall. There is not a lot of competition for posts compared with a decade ago

## 1.4 Forecast Supply – Other Supply and Turnover

- From other education supply
- To/from the devolved administrations
- To/from private and LA health and social care employers
- To/from the international labour market
- To/from other sectors / career breaks and 'return to practice'
- To/from other professions (e.g. to HV or to management)
- Increased / decreased participation rates (more or less part time working)
- Retirement

# Other Supply and Turnover

Currently, there are 65 whole time and 7 part-time medical consultant virologists and 10 consultant clinical scientists working in the United Kingdom (Clinical Virology Network data). There are two vacant consultant medical virologist posts. There has been 1 retirement in the last 6 months and 1 vacant post has been filled

There are poor data on retirement intentions

There is no introduction of consultants in virology coming to the NHS from the private sector and there are limited opportunities for virologists to work in the private sector in substantive posts

As regards the international labour market, the decision was taken in 2012 to remove Microbiology and Virology from the Home Office Shortage Occupation List.

Despite almost automatic recognition in the UK of a Specialty Certification obtained in another EU state, the specialty of Medical Microbiology and Virology is not recognised in all EU states, limiting the numbers of specialists who may wish to move to the UK. Furthermore, there is increasing demand for microbiologists in certain EU states, attracting some UK specialists to move overseas. However, the pattern of training in many EU countries is sufficiently different from that in the UK that they are unlikely to fulfil the Royal College of Pathologists model Person Specification, limiting the likelihood of continental European trained specialists from being appointed to UK posts

Internationally, there is some limited interest from EU doctors trained in Microbiology and/or Virology

# Section 2 - Drivers of service demand change

Timescale/time horizon		
Framework 15 message:	Longer term – to 15 years	Shorter term to 5 years
	Are you aware of any new evidence which impacts in the	Please detail your evidence about the <b>shorter term</b> , specifically:
	light of this - do you think there is the need for a different	
	message for Framework 15?	
	Please detail your evidence about the longer term	
We believe that our population is	Yes. There is a move to 24/7 working patterns	It is a question of need. Consideration must be given to the
getting older, and that for our		waiting time for results that are not required urgently i.e.
workforce, preferences for a change in		same day. From an infection control perspective, same day
patterns in working is increasing.		chlamydia, gonorrhoea, respiratory virus testing, as
		examples, would help reduce transmission. However, a discussion about what the population feels about waiting
		times for test results that are not urgent may reveal that 24/7
		access is not necessary
The influence of technology is growing	Point of care tests and 24/7 working will lead to results	Point of care tests and 24/7 working will lead to results being
in healthcare and beyond, with staff	being available more rapidly	available more rapidly. Staff in health centres will need
and patients using it to increase		training and issues around quality control and clinical
personalisation and control in their		governance will need to be understood
life. What will be its possible impact in		
healthcare in the years ahead? The		
influence of genomics and research		
will also play a vital part.		
Wider factors are creating global	It will be interesting to see this will be costed as the	It will be interesting to see this will be costed as the tests
pressures to constrain the cost of	tests produced by commercial companies will always	produced by commercial companies will always be more
publicly funded healthcare, with the	be more expensive than in-house tests. However, work	expensive than in-house tests. However, work needs to be
wider concept of wellness increasingly	needs to be carried out to understand the benefits of	carried out to understand the benefits of these tests in terms
taking root which people will expect	these tests in terms of management of patients and costs to the service before widespread introduction	of management of patients and costs to the service before widespread introduction
health service to respond to.	'	•
Patients are going to want high quality	It will be interesting to see this will be costed as the	It will be interesting to see this will be costed as the tests
services anytime, any place,	tests produced by commercial companies will always	produced by commercial companies will always be more
anywhere, with a more equal (and	be more expensive than in-house tests. However, work needs to be carried out to understand the benefits of	expensive than in-house tests. However, work needs to be carried out to understand the benefits of these tests in terms
challenging ) relationship with staff,	these tests in terms of management of patients and	of management of patients and costs to the service before
but one still based on care and a better	costs to the service before widespread introduction	widespread introduction
work life balance.	Coole to the solvior boloro macoproad introduction	macoproda macadolon

# Section 3 – Patients and population

Timescale/time horizon		
Framework 15 message:	Longer term – to 15 years	Shorter term to 5 years
	Are you aware of any new evidence which impacts in the	Please detail your evidence about the <b>shorter term</b> , specifically:
	light of this - do you think there is the need for a different	
	message for Framework 15?	
	Please detail your evidence about the longer term	
With people living longer with more people	This is being addressed with combined infection	All will increase
living with multiple and complex conditions	training with an emphasis on core medical training,	
(and with our workforce being currently	infectious disease and understanding and gaining	
predominantly trained to treat distinct and	experience of the laboratory tests. Longer term, the combined approach will provide a more global	
different disease in isolation after a health	approach to healthcare	
crisis has occurred). How can we		
educate/train the workforce to support the		
prevention of ill health and, where ill health		
occurs, support staff to work across		
organisational boundaries to support high		
quality care for people with a range of health		
needs (across physical, mental health and		
social care)?	NI-	All will be an a co
Our patients and population are likely to be	No	All will increase
at different stages of being <b>informed</b> , active		
and engaged in their own healthcare		
(including using for example, data and online		
records), with our challenge being to support		
the development of a workforce which can		
support high quality care for all patients.		

	Timescale/time horizon	
Framework 15 message:	Longer term – to 15 years	Shorter term to 5 years
	Are you aware of any new evidence which impacts in the light of this - do you think there is the need for a different	Please detail your evidence about the <b>shorter term</b> , specifically:
	message for Framework 15?	
	Please detail your evidence about the <b>longer term</b>	
Patients will increasingly be members of a	Not applicable to Virology	Not applicable to Virology
community of health, with the number of	That applicable to virology	Not applicable to virology
carers projected to rise significantly in the		
years ahead. Five Year Forward View		
highlights four ways in which we can engage		
with communities and citizens in new ways,		
to build on the energy and compassion that		
exists in communities across England,		
namely:		
better support for carers		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
creating new options for health-related     valuate arise.		
volunteering		
designing easier ways for voluntary		
organisations to work alongside the NHS		
<ul> <li>using the role of the NHS as an employer to achieve wider health goals</li> </ul>		
Developing substantial community	Not applicable to Virology	Not applicable to Virology
<b>provision</b> to bring about a substantial		
reduction in the numbers of people with		
learning disabilities placed inappropriately in		
institutional care is a central part of Sir		
Stephen Bubb's report in 2014		
('Winterbourne View – time for change ).		
Parity of esteem for Mental Health will be	Not applicable to Virology	Not applicable to Virology
supported through delivering improvements		
in areas such as integration, waiting and		
access targets and in the area of psychiatry		
liaison		

Timescale/time horizon		
Framework 15 message:	Longer term – to 15 years	Shorter term to 5 years
	Are you aware of any new evidence which impacts in the light of this - do you think there is the need for a different message for Framework 15?  Please detail your evidence about the longer term	Please detail your evidence about the <b>shorter term</b> , specifically:
Five year forward view draws attention to the NHS being committed to making substantial progress in ensuring that the boards and leadership of NHS organisations better reflect the diversity of the local communities they serve, and that the NHS provides supportive and non-discriminatory ladders of opportunity for all its staff, including those from black and minority ethnic backgrounds.	Equality and diversity and non-discriminatory ladders of opportunity are integral to our workplaces and to RCPath	Equality and diversity and non-discriminatory ladders of opportunity are integral to our workplaces and to RCPath

# Section 4 - Models of care

Timescale/time horizon		
Framework 15 message:	Longer term – to 15 years	Shorter term to 5 years
	Are you aware of any new evidence which impacts in the light of this - do you think there is the need for a different message for Framework 15?  Please detail your evidence about the longer term	Please detail your evidence about the <b>shorter term</b> , specifically:
Five Year forward View outlines a number of possible future service models including  • multispecialty community providers (MCPs), which may include a number of variants  • integrated primary and acute care systems (PACS)  • additional approaches to creating viable smaller hospitals  • models of enhanced health in care homes  The expertise to support the piloting and introduction of these models need to be considered. Existing NHS services and areas of the healthcare workforce may work with others in new and different ways (e.g. community pharmacy).	Together with laboratory centralisations/mergers, joint ventures with private companies have changed the virological landscape in the last few years with varied results.  MCPs and PACS are good examples of better access but everything needs to be unified, standardised and implemented once the systems having been tested in different regions	Together with laboratory centralisations/mergers, joint ventures with private companies have changed the virological landscape in the last few years with varied results.  MCPs and PACS are good examples of better access but everything needs to be unified, standardised and implemented once the systems having been tested in different regions  Point of care tests and 24/7 working will lead to results being available more rapidly. Staff in health centres will need training and issues around quality control and clinical governance will need to be understood
Services are likely to become increasingly integrated in the future, enhanced through policies such as the Devolution of Local health and social care budgets, the integrated care pilots and integrated personal commissioning. Partnerships will become increasingly important, including with partners beyond NHS and social care.	Together with laboratory centralisations/mergers, joint ventures with private companies have changed the virological landscape in the last few years with varied results.  MCPs and PACS are good examples of better access but everything needs to be unified, standardised and implemented once the systems having been tested in different regions	MCPs and PACS are good examples of better access but everything needs to be unified, standardised and implemented once the systems having been tested in different regions  Point of care tests and 24/7 working will lead to results being available more rapidly. Staff in health centres will need training and issues around quality control and clinical governance will need to be understood

Timescale/time horizon		
Framework 15 message:	Longer term – to 15 years	Shorter term to 5 years
	Are you aware of any new evidence which impacts in the light of this - do you think there is the need for a different message for Framework 15?  Please detail your evidence about the longer term	Please detail your evidence about the <b>shorter term</b> , specifically:
We may increasingly see <b>centres of specialisation</b> in some specialties in some areas.	Virology is at a crossroads. There are already bigger centres with experienced staff carrying out in-house and commercially available specialised tests as well as high volume assays and smaller centres running commercially available specialised tests with less experienced staff Regional virology centres will integrate fully with microbiology and genomics	It is already happening but needs to be thought though carefully and implemented in a unified fashion.
We will see the ongoing development of services in the area of urgent and emergency care	Yes and point of care diagnostics, rapid genome analysis and 24/7 working will be in place	It is already happening but needs to be thought though carefully and implemented in a unified fashion.
Five Year Forward View highlights new developments such as the evidence based diabetes prevention service and encouraging new capacity in under doctored areas.	Not applicable to Virology	Not applicable to Virology

# <u>Section 5 – Future workforce characteristics</u>

Timescale/time horizon		
Framework 15 message:	Longer term – to 15 years	Shorter term to 5 years
Below are the 5 future workforce	In your evidence please highlight any or all of the	Please detail your evidence about the <b>shorter term</b> education
characteristics set out in Framework 15	following:	and training needs required for the current workforce to meet
	- Are these workforce characteristics still valid?	these characteristics:
	- Any evidence you are aware of work which is	
	underway and which contributes to the	
	achievement of the workforce characteristics	
	- Any gaps you are aware of	
	Please detail your evidence about the longer term	
The workforce will include the informal	Not applicable to Virology	Not applicable to Virology
support that helps people prevent ill health		
and manage their own care as appropriate.		
Have the skills, values and behaviours	Not applicable to Virology	Not applicable to Virology
required to provide co-productive and		
traditional models of care as appropriate.		
Have adaptable skills responsive to evidence	Not applicable to Virology	Not applicable to Virology
and innovation to enable 'whole person'		
care, with specialisation driven by patient		
rather than professional needs.		
Have the skills, values, behaviours and	Not applicable to Virology	Not applicable to Virology
support to provide safe, high quality care		
wherever and whenever the patient is, at all		
times and in all settings.		
Deliver the NHS Constitution: be able to	Not applicable to Virology	Not applicable to Virology
bring the highest levels of knowledge and		
skill at times of basic human need when care		
and compassion are what matters most.		

## <u>Section 6 – Any other evidence not included elsewhere</u>

Virology remains a growing and increasingly clinically relevant specialty with rapid progress in diagnostics and treatment as well as of continued relevance to public health and infection control.

Figure 1







